arXiv:0909.3442v2 [math.NT] 10 Apr 2010

AN EXPLICIT HEIGHT BOUND FOR THE CLASSICAL MODULAR POLYNOMIAL

REINIER BRÖKER AND ANDREW V. SUTHERLAND

Abstract. For a prime l, let Φ_l be the classical modular polynomial, and let $h(\Phi_l)$ denote its logarithmic height. By specializing a theorem of Cohen, we prove that $h(\Phi_l) \leq 6l \log l + 16l + 14\sqrt{l} \log l$. As a corollary, we find that $h(\Phi_l) \leq 6l \log l + 18l$ also holds. A table of $h(\Phi_l)$ values is provided for $l \leq 3607$.

1. Introduction

Let $j : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{C}$ be the elliptic modular function. For a positive integer m, the classical modular polynomial Φ_m is the minimal polynomial of the function $j(mz)$ over the field $\mathbf{C}(j)$. As a polynomial in two variables, we have $\Phi_m(j(mz), j(z)) = 0$. The polynomial $\Phi_m(X, Y)$ is symmetric, with integer coefficients, and has degree $\psi(m) = m \prod_{p|m}(1 + p^{-1})$ in both variables [15, Ch. 5].

For a nonzero polynomial P with complex coefficients, let $h(P) = \log \max |c|$, where c ranges over the coefficients of P. Cohen proves in [9] that the bound

$$h(\Phi_m) = 6\psi(m)\big(\log m - 2\kappa(m) + O(1)\big)$$

holds, with $\kappa(m) = \sum_{p|m} p^{-1} \log p = O(\log \log m)$. For $m = l$ prime, this yields

$$h(\Phi_l) = 6l \log l + O(l).$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove an explicit upper bound on the $O(l)$ term.

Theorem 1. *For every prime l we have $h(\Phi_l) \leq 6l \log l + 16l + 14\sqrt{l} \log l$.*

Such an explicit bound is needed to obtain rigorous results from algorithms that compute Φ_l, including [6, 7, 10, 11]. To prove Theorem 1, we retrace the proof of Cohen, specializing to the case that $m = l$ is prime and seeking only an upper bound. These restrictions simplify our presentation, but the core of the argument remains the same. Our main contribution is to make the bound fully explicit.

We also add two refinements to the proof, Lemma 5 and Lemma 8, that sharpen the constants we obtain in Theorem 1. These improvements have a significant impact in the range of l practical for computation.

2. Bounding the height

We recall that the modular polynomial may be written as

$$\Phi_l(X, j(z)) = \big(X - j(lz)\big) \prod_{b=0}^{l-1} \big(X - j((z + b)/l)\big), \tag{1}$$

see [15, Ch. 5.2]. To simplify our notation, for any $y \in \mathbf{C}$, let $\Phi_{l,y}(X)$ denote the univariate polynomial $\Phi_l(X, y)$, and let us define $\|y\| = \log \max(1, |y|)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G05.

Lemma 2. *Let l be prime and let $z \in \mathbf{H}$. For $y = j(z)$ we have*

$$h(\Phi_{l,y}) \;\le\; \big\|j(lz)\big\| + \sum_{b=0}^{l-1} \big\|j((z+b)/l)\big\| + (\log 2)l.$$

Proof. Each coefficient c of a monic polynomial with roots $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfies $|c| \le 2^{n-1} \prod \max(1, |\omega_k|)$. Applying this to (1) yields the lemma. □

To bound $h(\Phi_l)$, it suffices to bound $h(\Phi_{l,y})$ for real y in an interval $[L, 2L]$.

Lemma 3. *Assume $h(\Phi_{l,y}) \le B$ for $y \in [1728, 3456]$. Then*

$$h(\Phi_l) \le B + 2.083(l+1).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 20 of the appendix. □

As explained in [9, p. 397], the real value $j(it)$ increases monotonically with the real parameter $t \ge 1$. We have $j(i) = 1728$, and for each y in the interval $[1728, 3456]$ there is a unique t for which $y = j(it)$. We find that $j(1.254i) > 3456$, hence for $y \in [1728, 3456]$ we have $t < 1.254$. Our main task is to bound the sum

$$S(l,t) = \sum_{b=0}^{l-1} \big\|j((it+b)/l)\big\|, \tag{2}$$

as a function of l, for any fixed $t \in [1, 1.254)$. To do so, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $z \in \mathbf{H}$ and let $x = \operatorname{Im} z$. We have the bound*

$$\big\|j(z)\big\| \;\le\; 2\pi x + c(x),$$

where $c(x) \;=\; \log(e^{2\pi \max(x,1/x)} + 1728 - e^{2\pi}) - 2\pi x \;<\; 9.429$ *for all* $x \ge 1/2$.

Proof. From [5, Lemma 1] we have

$$|j(z)| \;\le\; j(ix) \;\le\; e^{2\pi \max(x,1/x)} + 1728 - e^{2\pi}.$$

The lemma follows immediately upon taking logarithms. □

To profitably apply Lemma 4 to (2), we first shift the argument of $j((it+b)/l)$ using the invariance of $j(z)$ under $z \mapsto z+1$. Fixing the integer $N = [\sqrt{l/t}]$, let I_N be the unit interval $[1/(N+1), (N+2)/(N+1)]$. We may rewrite (2) as

$$S(l,t) = \sum_{b/l \in I_N} \big\|j((it+b)/l)\big\|, \tag{3}$$

where b now ranges over the l integers in the interval $[l/(N+1), l(N+2)/(N+1))$.

Using the Farey series of order N, we may partition I_N into subintervals as

$$I_N = \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} \bigcup_{\substack{h=1\\(h,k)=1}}^{k} I_N(h/k), \tag{4}$$

where $I_N(h/k) = [\rho_1, \rho_2)$ contains h/k. The endpoints ρ_1 and ρ_2 both satisfy

$$1/(2kN) \le |\rho_i - h/k| \le 1/(k(N+1)), \tag{5}$$

see [13, Ch. 3] and [9, Lemma 3]. There are $K_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \phi(k)$ subintervals in (4), and each contains at least one $b/l \in I_N$. The bound $K_N = 3N^2/\pi^2 + O(N \log N)$ is well known [3, Thm. 3.7], and for $N \geq 2$ we have the explicit bound

$$K_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \phi(k) \leq \frac{3}{\pi^2} N^2 + \frac{1}{2} N \log N,$$

proven in Lemma 17 of the appendix (see [17] for an asymptotically tighter bound).

We now assume $l > 5$, so that $N \geq 2$. The bound we eventually prove will also hold when $l \leq 5$. For each $I_N(h/k)$ in (4), let us pick integers r and s with $rk - sh = 1$. We may then apply the unimodular transformation

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} s & -r \\ k & -h \end{pmatrix}$$

to $z = (it + b)/l$ in each term of (3). Using $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda z = \operatorname{Im} z / \left| kz - h \right|^2$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Im} \Lambda z = \frac{t/l}{k^2 \left| b/l - h/k \right|^2 + k^2 (t/l)^2}.$$

Now let $\alpha = k\sqrt{l/t}\, \left| b/l - h/k \right| \leq 1$ and $\beta = k\sqrt{t/l} \leq 1$, so that

$$\operatorname{Im} \Lambda z \ = \ \frac{1}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \ \geq \ \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that each $b/l \in I_N$ is contained in a particular $I_N(h/k)$ that determines α and β. We may now bound $S(l,t)$ by

$$S(l,t) \ \leq \ \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{h=1 \\ (k,h)=1}}^{k} \sum_{b/l \in I_N(h/k)} \big(g_{h/k}(b/l) + \varepsilon_{h/k}(b/l) \big). \tag{6}$$

The notation in the right-hand side of (6) is as follows. For each $I_N(h/k)$ we pick a unimodular matrix Λ as above, and with $z = (it + b)/l$ we put $g_{h/k}(b/l) = 2\pi \operatorname{Im} \Lambda z$ and $\varepsilon_{h/k}(b/l) = c(\operatorname{Im} \Lambda z)$, where $c(x)$ is the function defined in Lemma 4.

As the following lemma shows, the naïve bound $\varepsilon_{h/k}(b/l) \leq c(1/2) < 9.429$ that we get from Lemma 4 can be significantly improved, on average.

Lemma 5. *With the notation as above, let* $\varepsilon(l,t) = \sum_{h,k,b} \varepsilon_{h/k}(b/l)$. *For all* $l > 5$ *and* $t \in [1, 1.254)$ *we have*

$$\varepsilon(l,t) \ \leq \ (3.066 + 1 - t)l + 2.485\sqrt{l} \log l + 36.963.$$

Proof. Among the points of the form b/l in the interval $I_N(h/k)$, we call the smallest and largest *exterior* points. The others are called *interior* points. For each interior point $b/l \in I_N(h/k)$ we have

$$|b/l - h/k| \ \leq \ 1/(k(N+1)) - 1/l \ < \ 1/(k\sqrt{l/t}) - 1/l \ = \ t/(\beta l) - 1/l,$$

yielding $\alpha = (\beta l/t)|b/l - h/k| < 1 - \beta/t$.

We now divide the exterior points into two categories. We call an exterior point *good* if it is at least a distance $1/(2l)$ from both endpoints of the interval in which it lies. An exterior point is *bad* otherwise. By a calculation similar to that above, we find that $\alpha < 1 - \beta/(2t)$ holds for each good exterior point.

There are $2K_N$ half intervals of the form $[\rho_0, h/k)$ or $[h/k, \rho_1)$, and each contains at most one exterior point. Adjacent half intervals that do not lie in the same

$I_N(h/k)$ cannot both contain a bad exterior point. Hence, there are at most K_N+1 bad exterior points. For the purposes of computing an upper bound, we assume that there are exactly this many, and that every interval has two exterior points, implying that there are exactly K_N-1 good exterior points.

In the worst case, the bad exterior points lie in the intervals $I_N(h/k)$ with the largest values of β. If we order the intervals according to $\beta \approx k/N$ and divide them into quartiles, this roughly means that each interval in the top two quartiles contains two bad exterior points, while each interval in the bottom two quartiles contains two good exterior points. By Corollary 18 of the appendix, up to an absolute error of 2, at least $1/4$ of the intervals have $\beta \le C_1 = 0.539$, at least $1/2$ have $\beta \le C_2 = 0.742$, and at least $3/4$ have $\beta \le C_3 = 0.917$.

Let $f(\alpha,\beta) = c(1/(\alpha^2+\beta^2))$, with $c(x)$ as in Lemma 4. We use $c_1 = f(1,1)$ and $c_2 = f(1, C_3)$ to estimate $\varepsilon_{h/k}(b/l)$ for the bad exterior points in the upper two quartiles, and we use $c_3 = f(1-C_2/(2t), C_2)$ and $c_4 = f(1-C_1/(2t), C_1)$ for the good exterior points in the lower two quartiles.

For the interior points, we note that for $\beta > C_3 > 2t/3$, the interval $I_N(h/k)$ has width less than $3/l$ and can contain at most one interior point. Similarly, if we have $\beta > C_2 > t/2$, then there can be at most two interior points. We may therefore use $c_5 = f(1-1/t, 1)$ to estimate $\varepsilon_{h/k}(b/l)$ for the interior points in the top quartile (at most one per interval), $c_6 = f(1-C_3/t, C_3)$ for the interior points in the second quartile (at most two per interval), and $c_7 = f(1-C_2/t, C_2)$ for the remaining interior points. Applying Lemma 17, we use $M = 3l/(2\pi^2 t) + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{l/t}\log(l/t)$ to bound $K_N/2$. Putting everything together, we obtain the function

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon'(l,t) \;&=\; c_1(M+4) + c_2M + c_3M + c_4(M-4)\\ &\qquad\qquad + 0.5c_5(M+2) + c_6M + c_7(l - 5.5M - 2)\\ &=\; (c_1+c_2+c_3+c_4+0.5c_5+c_6-5.5c_7)M + 4(c_1-c_4) + (c_5-2c_7) + c_7 l,\end{aligned}$$

as an upper bound on $\varepsilon(l,t)$. For any fixed $l > 5$ we find that for $t \in [1, 1.254)$ the function $\varepsilon'(l,t)$ is maximized when $t = 1$, and in fact the bound

$$\varepsilon(l,t) \;\le\; \varepsilon'(l,t) \;\le\; \varepsilon'(l,1) + (1-t)l$$

holds for all $l > 5$ and $t \in [1, 1.254)$. Computing $\varepsilon'(l,1)$ then yields the lemma. □

Our remaining task is to bound the terms $g_{h/k}(b/l)$ appearing in (6). Setting $\theta = lh/k$, we have

$$g_{h/k}(b/l) = 2\pi k^{-2} t l^{-1}/(t^2 l^{-2} + (b/l - h/k)^2) = 2\pi l t k^{-2}\big(t^2 + (b-\theta)^2\big)^{-1},$$

which we view as a function of $b \in \mathbf{Z}$. We now apply the identity

$$\sum_{c=-\infty}^{+\infty} \big(t^2 + (c-\theta)^2\big)^{-1} = \pi t^{-1} \sum_{\nu=\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2\pi|\nu|t} e^{2\pi i \nu\theta} \qquad (t>0), \tag{7}$$

as in [9, Lemma 6], to obtain

$$\sum_{b/l \in I_N(h/k)} g_{h/k}(b/l) \;\le\; \sum_{c=-\infty}^{+\infty} g_{h/k}(c/l) \;=\; 2\pi^2 l k^{-2} \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2\pi|\nu|t} e^{2\pi i \nu l h/k}, \tag{8}$$

and we use the following lemma to bound the right-hand side.

Lemma 6. *Let l be prime, let $t \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$, and for $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$, define $a_\nu = 2\pi^2 l e^{-2\pi|\nu|t}$. Assume $N = [\sqrt{l/t}] \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{h=1\\(k,h)=1}}^{k} \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} k^{-2} a_\nu e^{2\pi i\nu lh/k} < 6l\log l + (13.889 - 6\log t)l + 3.290\sqrt{l}\log l + 6.580\sqrt{l}.$$

Proof. Noting absolute convergence, we reorder the sums, obtaining

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{h=1\\(k,h)=1}}^{k} \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} k^{-2} a_\nu e^{2\pi i\nu lh/k} = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_\nu \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{-2} \sum_{\substack{h=1\\(k,h)=1}}^{k} e^{2\pi i\nu lh/k}. \tag{9}$$

With $n = \nu l$ the inner sum becomes

$$c_k(n) = \sum_{\substack{h=1\\(h,k)=1}}^{k} e^{2\pi inh/k}.$$

For $n > 0$ this is a Ramanujan sum, and we may apply the identity

$$c_k(n) = \frac{\mu\big(k/(k,n)\big)\phi(k)}{\phi\big(k/(k,n)\big)},$$

due to Hölder [14] (or see [1, Thm. 2]). We then have $|c_k(n)| \leq (k,n)$ for all $n > 0$, and for $n < 0$ we note that $c_k(-n) = \overline{c_k(n)} = c_k(n)$. For $\nu \neq 0$ we therefore have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{-2} c_k(\nu l) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2}(k,\nu) \leq \sum_{d|\nu} d \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (nd)^{-2} = \zeta(2)\sum_{d|\nu} d^{-1} = \zeta(2)\sigma(|\nu|)/|\nu|,$$

where $\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6$. Since $c_k(0) = \phi(k)$, we may bound (9) by

$$a_0 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \phi(k)/k^2 \; + \; \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu \sigma(\nu)/\nu. \tag{10}$$

To treat the infinite sum in (10) we evaluate the first two terms and bound the tail with an integral, using $\sigma(\nu) = \sum_{d|\nu} d \leq \nu^2$. This yields

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu \sigma(\nu)/\nu \; \leq \; 2\pi^2 l e^{-2\pi t} + 3\pi^2 l e^{-4\pi t} + 2\pi^2 l \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi\nu t}\nu \; < \; 0.037 \cdot l, \tag{11}$$

where we have used

$$\sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi\nu t}\nu \leq \int_2^{\infty} e^{-2\pi x} x\,dx = \frac{e^{-4\pi}(1+4\pi)}{4\pi^2} < 1.2 \times 10^{-6},$$

valid for $t \geq 1$. We now apply Lemma 16 of the appendix, whose error term is an increasing function of $x = N$, to the first sum in (10). Using $N = [\sqrt{l/t}] \leq \sqrt{l}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{l}} \phi(k)/k^2 \; &\leq \; 2\pi^2 l \left(\frac{3\log l}{\pi^2} + \frac{6\gamma}{\pi^2} - C + \frac{\log l}{6\sqrt{l}} + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{l}} \right) \\ &\leq \; 6l\log l \; + \; (13.767 - 6\log t)l \; + \; 3.290\sqrt{l}\log l \; + \; 6.580\sqrt{l}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Applying (12) and (11) to (10) yields the lemma. $\square$

Remark 7. *Extending the sum in (8) to all integers allowed us to conveniently bound the triple sum in (6) via Lemma 6. This yields the correct leading term of* $6l \log l$ *in Theorem 1, however the* $O(l)$ *term is overestimated significantly. Lemma 8 proves a lower bound on the tail of the middle sum in (8) which is then subtracted from the bound in Lemma 6 to sharpen the* $O(l)$ *term.*

Lemma 8. *Let the notation be as above. For* $l > 3600$ *we have*

$$\sum_{b/l \in I_N(h/k)} g_{h/k}(b/l) \leq 6l \log l \;+\; (3.803 - 6 \log t)l \;+\; 17.693\sqrt{l} \log l \;-58.939\sqrt{l}.$$

Proof. Consider an interval $I_N(h/k)$ for which $k > \sqrt{lt}/2$. By (5), the width of $I_N(h/k)$ is less than $2/l$, hence it contains at most two points of the form b/l. It follows that at most two of the terms $g_{h/k}(c/l)$ in the middle sum of (8) correspond to terms present in the left sum. We assume there are exactly two, and these must be of the form $g_{h/k}(b/l)$ and $g_{h/k}((b+1)/l)$. The sum is maximized when $\theta = b + \frac{1}{2}$, hence the overestimate introduced by the inequality in (8) is at least

$$2\pi l k^{-2} \sum_{n \neq 0,1} \frac{t}{t^2 + (n - \frac{1}{2})^2} \;=\; 2\pi l k^{-2} \left(\pi \tanh \pi t - \frac{2t}{t^2 + (\frac{1}{2})^2} \right), \tag{13}$$

where we have used the identity $\pi \tanh \pi t = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t}{t^2 + (n - \frac{1}{2})^2}$.

For each $k \in (\sqrt{lt}/2, \sqrt{l/t}]$, there are $\phi(k)$ intervals $I_N(h/k)$ to which (13) applies. The total overestimate related to these intervals is at least

$$2\pi l \left(\pi \tanh \pi t - \frac{2t}{t^2 + (\frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \sum_{\sqrt{lt}/2 < k \leq \sqrt{l/t}} \frac{\phi(k)}{k^2}. \tag{14}$$

To bound the sum in (14), we apply Lemma 16 twice and take the difference:

$$\sum_{\sqrt{lt}/2 < k \leq \sqrt{l/t}} \frac{\phi(k)}{k^2} \;\geq\; \frac{6}{\pi^2} \log \frac{2}{t} \;+\; \epsilon_1(l,t). \tag{15}$$

The term $\epsilon_1(l,t)$ arises from the error term $\epsilon\big((\log x + 1)/(3x)\big)$ in Lemma 16. We make the worst-case assumption that the upper error is maximally negative and the lower error is maximally positive, yielding

$$\epsilon_1(l,t) = -\frac{(t+2)\log l + 2t + 4 - \log 16 + (2-t)\log t}{6\sqrt{lt}}. \tag{16}$$

Combining (14) and (15) we obtain the lower bound

$$\delta_1(l,t) = 2\pi l \left(\pi \tanh \pi t - \frac{2t}{t^2 + (\frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} \log \frac{2}{t} \;+\; \epsilon_1(l,t) \right).$$

We now apply the same argument to intervals $I_N(h/k)$ with $\sqrt{lt}/3 < k \leq \sqrt{lt}/2$. For such intervals there are at most three terms in the middle sum of (8) that correspond to terms in the left sum, and the sum of these terms is maximized when the middle term has $\theta = b$. Using the identity $\pi \coth \pi t = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t}{t^2+n^2}$, we obtain

$$\delta_2(l,t) \;=\; 2\pi l \left(\pi \coth \pi t - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{2t}{t^2+1} \right) \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} \log \frac{3}{2} \;+\; \epsilon_2(l,t) \right).$$

To compute $\epsilon_2(l,t)$, we now take the difference of the maximal positive errors arising from Lemma 16, consistent with our worst-case assumption in (16) above, obtaining

$$\epsilon_2(l,t) = -\frac{\log lt - 2(3\log 3 - 2\log 2) + 2}{6\sqrt{lt}}.$$

Continuing in this fashion, we consider $I_N(h/k)$ with $\sqrt{lt}/d < k \leq \sqrt{lt}/(d+1)$ for an integer $d > 2$. Let us define

$$S_d \;=\; \frac{6}{\pi^2}\log\left(\frac{d+1}{d}\right) \;-\; \frac{\log lt - 2\big((d+1)\log(d+1) - d\log d\big){+}2}{6\sqrt{lt}}.$$

For $l \geq (d+1)^2$ and $d > 2$ we then obtain the lower bound

$$(17) \qquad \delta_d \geq \begin{cases} 2\pi l S_d\left(\pi\tanh\pi - \sum_{|n-\frac{1}{2}|\leq\frac{d}{2}} \frac{t}{t^2+(n-\frac{1}{2})^2}\right) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd;} \\ \\ 2\pi l S_d\left(\pi\coth\pi - \sum_{|n|\leq\frac{d}{2}} \frac{t}{t^2+n^2}\right) & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Assuming $l \geq 3600$, we let $\delta(l,t) = \delta_1(l,t) + \cdots + \delta_{59}(l,t)$. For any fixed $l \geq 3600$, as t varies over $[1, 1.254)$ we find that $\delta(l,t)$ is minimized when $t = 1$ (we note that this is not true of the first few $\delta_d(l,t)$). We then compute

$$(18) \quad \delta(l,1) = \delta_1(l,1) + \cdots + \delta_{59}(l,1) \;\geq\; 10.086\cdot l \;-\; 17.693\sqrt{l}\log l \;+\; 58.939\sqrt{l}$$

as a lower bound on the total overestimate in the middle sum of (8). Subtracting the right-hand side of (18) from the bound in Lemma 6 yields the lemma. □

Theorem 1. *For every prime l we have $h(\Phi_l) \;\leq\; 6l\log l \;+\; 16l \;+\; 14\sqrt{l}\log l$.*

Proof. The modular polynomials Φ_l are well known for $l = 2, 3, 5$, and the theorem holds in these cases, so assume $l > 5$. Let $y \in [1728, 3456]$, and let $t \in [1, 1.254)$ satisfy $j(it) = y$, as discussed following Lemma 3.

From Lemma 2 we have

$$(19) \qquad h(\Phi_{l,y}) \leq \big\|j(ilt)\big\| + S(l,t) + (\log 2)l,$$

where $S(l,t)$ is the sum in (6). Applying Lemma 4, we obtain

$$(20) \qquad \big\|j(ilt)\big\| \;<\; 2\pi lt + 1.172.$$

For $S(l,t)$ we have two different bounds, depending on whether l is less than or greater than 3600, and this yields two bounds for $h(\Phi_l)$.

For $5 < l < 3600$, we bound $S(l,t)$ by adding the bound in Lemma 5 to the bound in Lemma 6. Plugging this and (20) into (19) yields

$$(21) \;\; h(\Phi_{l,y}) \leq 6l\log l{+}(18.649{+}2\pi t{-}t{-}6\log t)l{+}5.775{\cdot}\sqrt{l}\log l{+}6.580\sqrt{l}{+}36.963.$$

For $t \in [1, 1.254)$ this bound is maximized when $t = 1$. Lemma 3 then yields

$$(22) \quad h(\Phi_l) \;\leq\; B_1(l) = 6l\log l + 26.016\cdot l + 5.775\cdot\sqrt{l}\log l + 6.580\sqrt{l} + 39.046.$$

For $l \geq 3600$ we instead bound $S(l,t)$ by adding the bound in Lemma 5 to the bound in Lemma 8. In this case we obtain

$$(23) \quad h(\Phi_l) \;\leq\; B_2(l) \;=\; 6l\log l + 15.929\cdot l + 20.178\sqrt{l}\log l - 58.939\sqrt{l} + 39.046.$$

A direct computation, using the bound $B_1(l)$ and the algorithm described in [6], finds that Theorem 1 holds for $l < 3600$ (see Table 1). For all $l \geq 3600$, the bound of the theorem is greater than $B_2(l)$, hence the Theorem 1 holds for $l \geq 3600$. □

Corollary 9. *For every prime l we have $h(\Phi_l) \leq 6l\log l + 18l$.*

Proof. For $l \geq 3600$ the corollary follows from the bound $B_2(l)$ in (23). For $l < 3600$ it is verified by the values of $h(\Phi_l)$ in Table 1. □

Table 1 is listed on the following three pages in a three column format. For each prime $l \leq 3607$, the table lists three values. The first is the integer

$$h_2(\Phi_l) = \min\{n : 2^n > h(\Phi_l)\}.$$

This represents the number of bits required to store the absolute value of the largest coefficient of Φ_l, and we have $h(\Phi_l) \approx h_2(\Phi_l)\log 2$. The second is

$$c_l = (h(\Phi_l) - 6l\log l)/l,$$

which reflects the constant in the $O(l)$ term of $h(\Phi_l)$. The third value is

$$r_l = (6l\log l + 18l)/h(\Phi_l),$$

the ratio of the bound in Corollary 9 to the height of Φ_l.

Within the range of Table 1, we find that for $l > 157$ the bound of Corollary 9 exceeds $h(\Phi_l)$ by less than fifteen percent, as indicated by the values for r_l. However, as shown by the values for c_l, our bounds are clearly not optimal. Based on the data in the table, we make the following conjectures.

Conjecture 10. *For every prime $l > 30$ we have $h(\Phi_l) < 6l\log l + 12l$.*

Conjecture 11. *For l prime we have $\liminf_{l\to\infty}(h(\Phi_l) - 6l\log l)/l > 11.8$.*

3. APPENDIX

Here we prove certain number-theoretic bounds used in our estimates above. In most cases stronger asymptotic results are known, but we seek fully explicit bounds. Our results are derived from bounds for the summatory functions

$$M(x) = \sum_{1\leq n\leq x} \mu(n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q(x) = \sum_{1\leq n\leq x} |\mu(n)|,$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. The function $Q(x)$ counts squarefree positive integers, and it is well known that $Q(x) \sim x/\zeta(2) = 6x/\pi^2$, see [4] for example. We let $R(x) = Q(x) - 6x/\pi^2$, and note the following result from [8].

Theorem 12 (Cohen-Dress-El Marraki)**.** *For all $x \geq 2160535$ we have the bound $|M(x)| \leq x/4345$, and for all $x \geq 438653$ we have $|R(x)| \leq 0.02767\sqrt{x}$.*

It will be more convenient for us to work with slightly weaker bounds.

Corollary 13. *For all $x \geq 10^5$ we have $|M(x)| \leq x/900$ and $|R(x)| \leq \sqrt{x}/25$.*

Proof. By Theorem 12, it suffices to verify the corollary for $x \in [10^5, 2160535]$, a task readily accomplished with a machine calculation. □

The proofs below use the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, as defined, for example, in [2, Ch. 7]. The existence of all the integrals we use, including improper integrals, is easily verified and assumed without further comment. For a real number x, we use $[x]$ to denote the largest integer $n \leq x$ and define $\{x\} = x - [x]$.

To make our error terms explicit, for any positive real value B we use $\epsilon(B)$ to denote an unspecified real number with absolute value at most B. Throughout this appendix, all sums range over positive integers.

l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l	l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l	l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l
2	48	12.48	1.33	269	17634	11.87	1.13	617	44862	11.85	1.12
3	71	9.81	1.50	271	17767	11.83	1.14	619	45025	11.85	1.12
5	157	12.11	1.27	277	18207	11.82	1.14	631	45980	11.82	1.12
7	220	10.11	1.36	281	18513	11.84	1.13	641	46794	11.82	1.12
11	421	12.14	1.22	283	18673	11.86	1.13	643	46980	11.85	1.12
13	496	11.06	1.26	293	19438	11.90	1.13	647	47306	11.85	1.12
17	705	11.75	1.22	307	20483	11.89	1.13	653	47798	11.85	1.12
19	796	11.37	1.23	311	20799	11.92	1.13	659	48280	11.84	1.12
23	1025	12.08	1.19	313	20941	11.90	1.13	661	48429	11.82	1.12
29	1348	12.02	1.19	317	21260	11.93	1.13	673	49423	11.83	1.12
31	1440	11.59	1.20	331	22304	11.89	1.13	677	49761	11.84	1.12
37	1767	11.44	1.20	337	22767	11.91	1.13	683	50242	11.83	1.12
41	2012	11.73	1.18	347	23542	11.93	1.13	691	50915	11.84	1.12
43	2122	11.64	1.19	349	23676	11.89	1.13	701	51731	11.84	1.12
47	2376	11.94	1.17	353	23990	11.91	1.13	709	52368	11.81	1.12
53	2739	12.00	1.17	359	24450	11.91	1.13	719	53237	11.86	1.12
59	3104	12.00	1.16	367	25053	11.89	1.13	727	53905	11.86	1.12
61	3213	11.84	1.17	373	25511	11.88	1.13	733	54397	11.86	1.12
67	3581	11.82	1.17	379	25962	11.86	1.13	739	54884	11.85	1.12
71	3841	11.92	1.16	383	26285	11.88	1.13	743	55230	11.86	1.12
73	3952	11.78	1.17	389	26730	11.85	1.13	751	55891	11.86	1.12
79	4328	11.76	1.16	397	27364	11.87	1.13	757	56380	11.85	1.12
83	4591	11.83	1.16	401	27642	11.82	1.13	761	56722	11.86	1.12
89	4968	11.76	1.16	409	28259	11.81	1.13	769	57373	11.84	1.12
97	5476	11.68	1.16	419	29057	11.84	1.13	773	57753	11.89	1.12
101	5751	11.78	1.16	421	29188	11.80	1.13	787	58908	11.87	1.12
103	5881	11.77	1.16	431	29993	11.84	1.13	797	59763	11.89	1.12
107	6158	11.85	1.15	433	30128	11.80	1.13	809	60743	11.87	1.12
109	6282	11.80	1.16	439	30616	11.83	1.13	811	60917	11.88	1.12
113	6561	11.88	1.15	443	30916	11.81	1.13	821	61764	11.88	1.12
127	7500	11.87	1.15	449	31385	11.81	1.13	823	61913	11.87	1.12
131	7783	11.93	1.15	457	32001	11.79	1.13	827	62282	11.89	1.12
137	8183	11.88	1.15	461	32351	11.84	1.13	829	62429	11.88	1.12
139	8318	11.87	1.15	463	32491	11.82	1.13	839	63267	11.88	1.12
149	9009	11.89	1.15	467	32818	11.83	1.13	853	64459	11.89	1.12
151	9145	11.88	1.15	479	33779	11.85	1.13	857	64774	11.87	1.12
157	9561	11.87	1.15	487	34410	11.85	1.13	859	64973	11.89	1.12
163	9979	11.87	1.14	491	34730	11.85	1.13	863	65300	11.89	1.12
167	10272	11.93	1.14	499	35353	11.83	1.13	877	66485	11.89	1.12
173	10690	11.91	1.14	503	35686	11.85	1.13	881	66805	11.87	1.12
179	11111	11.90	1.14	509	36165	11.85	1.12	883	66970	11.87	1.12
181	11238	11.85	1.14	521	37127	11.86	1.12	887	67354	11.91	1.12
191	11951	11.86	1.14	523	37280	11.85	1.12	907	69017	11.88	1.12
193	12089	11.84	1.14	541	38717	11.84	1.12	911	69340	11.87	1.12
197	12369	11.82	1.14	547	39203	11.85	1.12	919	70036	11.88	1.12
199	12509	11.81	1.14	557	40015	11.86	1.12	929	70884	11.88	1.12
211	13359	11.77	1.14	563	40495	11.86	1.12	937	71530	11.86	1.12
223	14229	11.78	1.14	569	40949	11.82	1.12	941	71915	11.89	1.12
227	14524	11.80	1.14	571	41142	11.86	1.12	947	72396	11.87	1.12
229	14651	11.74	1.14	577	41601	11.83	1.12	953	72916	11.88	1.12
233	14949	11.77	1.14	587	42408	11.83	1.12	967	74105	11.87	1.12
239	15403	11.81	1.14	593	42918	11.85	1.12	971	74434	11.86	1.12
241	15525	11.74	1.14	599	43404	11.85	1.12	977	74951	11.87	1.12
251	16281	11.81	1.14	601	43542	11.83	1.12	983	75471	11.87	1.12
257	16721	11.80	1.14	607	44041	11.84	1.12	991	76128	11.85	1.12
263	17176	11.84	1.14	613	44523	11.83	1.12	997	76672	11.88	1.11

l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l	l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l	l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l
1009	77653	11.84	1.12	1427	114111	11.85	1.11	1823	149632	11.84	1.11
1013	78047	11.88	1.11	1429	114239	11.82	1.11	1831	150434	11.87	1.11
1019	78557	11.88	1.11	1433	114631	11.84	1.11	1847	151842	11.86	1.11
1021	78700	11.86	1.11	1439	115174	11.85	1.11	1861	153094	11.85	1.11
1031	79548	11.85	1.11	1447	115888	11.85	1.11	1867	153712	11.87	1.11
1033	79708	11.84	1.12	1451	116239	11.85	1.11	1871	154036	11.86	1.11
1039	80247	11.86	1.11	1481	118903	11.85	1.11	1873	154203	11.85	1.11
1049	81105	11.86	1.11	1453	116374	11.83	1.11	1877	154618	11.87	1.11
1051	81295	11.87	1.11	1483	119076	11.84	1.11	1879	154792	11.87	1.11
1061	82129	11.85	1.11	1459	116933	11.84	1.11	1889	155659	11.85	1.11
1063	82311	11.86	1.11	1487	119416	11.84	1.11	1901	156763	11.86	1.11
1069	82794	11.84	1.11	1489	119609	11.84	1.11	1907	157305	11.86	1.11
1087	84360	11.85	1.11	1471	118003	11.84	1.11	1913	157904	11.88	1.11
1091	84674	11.83	1.11	1493	119986	11.85	1.11	1931	159541	11.87	1.11
1093	84859	11.83	1.11	1499	120515	11.85	1.11	1933	159666	11.85	1.11
1097	85237	11.86	1.11	1511	121585	11.85	1.11	1949	161130	11.85	1.11
1103	85739	11.85	1.11	1523	122646	11.85	1.11	1951	161341	11.86	1.11
1109	86259	11.85	1.11	1531	123383	11.86	1.11	1973	163364	11.87	1.11
1117	86961	11.85	1.11	1543	124421	11.84	1.11	1979	163887	11.86	1.11
1123	87456	11.84	1.11	1549	124968	11.85	1.11	1987	164625	11.86	1.11
1129	87968	11.83	1.11	1553	125350	11.86	1.11	1993	165166	11.86	1.11
1151	89905	11.85	1.11	1559	125853	11.84	1.11	1997	165566	11.87	1.11
1153	90047	11.83	1.11	1567	126622	11.87	1.11	1999	165699	11.85	1.11
1163	90905	11.83	1.11	1571	126963	11.86	1.11	2003	166095	11.86	1.11
1171	91633	11.85	1.11	1579	127692	11.87	1.11	2011	166855	11.87	1.11
1181	92502	11.85	1.11	1583	128008	11.85	1.11	2017	167390	11.87	1.11
1187	93011	11.84	1.11	1597	129282	11.86	1.11	2027	168251	11.85	1.11
1193	93538	11.84	1.11	1601	129617	11.85	1.11	2029	168460	11.86	1.11
1201	94221	11.83	1.11	1607	130196	11.86	1.11	2039	169405	11.87	1.11
1213	95278	11.84	1.11	1609	130333	11.85	1.11	2053	170690	11.87	1.11
1217	95657	11.86	1.11	1613	130752	11.87	1.11	2063	171582	11.86	1.11
1223	96143	11.84	1.11	1619	131249	11.85	1.11	2069	172121	11.85	1.11
1229	96679	11.84	1.11	1621	131399	11.84	1.11	2081	173241	11.86	1.11
1231	96833	11.83	1.11	1627	131992	11.87	1.11	2083	173405	11.85	1.11
1237	97370	11.84	1.11	1637	132857	11.85	1.11	2087	173815	11.87	1.11
1249	98397	11.83	1.11	1657	134687	11.86	1.11	2089	173958	11.85	1.11
1259	99286	11.83	1.11	1663	135204	11.86	1.11	2099	174910	11.86	1.11
1277	100871	11.84	1.11	1667	135563	11.86	1.11	2111	176016	11.87	1.11
1279	101041	11.84	1.11	1669	135747	11.86	1.11	2113	176184	11.86	1.11
1283	101402	11.84	1.11	1693	137935	11.87	1.11	2129	177660	11.86	1.11
1289	101890	11.82	1.11	1697	138249	11.85	1.11	2131	177843	11.86	1.11
1291	102103	11.84	1.11	1699	138458	11.86	1.11	2137	178382	11.86	1.11
1297	102577	11.81	1.11	1709	139348	11.86	1.11	2141	178787	11.87	1.11
1301	102968	11.83	1.11	1733	141513	11.86	1.11	2143	178916	11.85	1.11
1303	103114	11.82	1.11	1721	140437	11.86	1.11	2153	179920	11.88	1.11
1307	103491	11.83	1.11	1723	140607	11.85	1.11	2161	180570	11.85	1.11
1319	104571	11.85	1.11	1741	142238	11.86	1.11	2179	182243	11.85	1.11
1321	104705	11.82	1.11	1747	142829	11.88	1.11	2203	184512	11.87	1.11
1327	105265	11.84	1.11	1753	143314	11.85	1.11	2207	184868	11.86	1.11
1361	108239	11.83	1.11	1759	143851	11.85	1.11	2213	185358	11.84	1.11
1367	108774	11.83	1.11	1777	145498	11.86	1.11	2221	186162	11.86	1.11
1373	109286	11.82	1.11	1783	146025	11.85	1.11	2237	187665	11.87	1.11
1381	109991	11.82	1.11	1787	146440	11.87	1.11	2239	187831	11.87	1.11
1399	111619	11.84	1.11	1789	146604	11.87	1.11	2243	188184	11.86	1.11
1409	112472	11.83	1.11	1801	147642	11.85	1.11	2251	188957	11.87	1.11
1423	113740	11.84	1.11	1811	148577	11.86	1.11	2267	190415	11.86	1.11

l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l	l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l	l	$h_2(\Phi_l)$	c_l	r_l
2269	190584	11.86	1.11	2699	230697	11.84	1.10	3169	275250	11.84	1.10
2273	190989	11.87	1.11	2707	231444	11.84	1.10	3181	276425	11.84	1.10
2281	191712	11.86	1.11	2711	231884	11.86	1.10	3187	277030	11.85	1.10
2287	192310	11.88	1.11	2713	232018	11.84	1.10	3191	277388	11.85	1.10
2293	192816	11.86	1.11	2719	232569	11.84	1.10	3203	278548	11.85	1.10
2297	193195	11.86	1.11	2729	233574	11.86	1.10	3209	279143	11.85	1.10
2309	194314	11.86	1.11	2731	233729	11.85	1.10	3217	279834	11.84	1.10
2311	194499	11.86	1.11	2741	234675	11.85	1.10	3221	280257	11.85	1.10
2333	196577	11.87	1.10	2749	235410	11.84	1.10	3229	281058	11.85	1.10
2339	197088	11.86	1.11	2753	235819	11.85	1.10	3251	283128	11.85	1.10
2341	197257	11.86	1.11	2767	237102	11.84	1.10	3253	283299	11.84	1.10
2347	197855	11.87	1.10	2777	238076	11.85	1.10	3257	283699	11.84	1.10
2351	198171	11.85	1.11	2789	239195	11.85	1.10	3259	283870	11.84	1.10
2357	198761	11.86	1.11	2791	239404	11.85	1.10	3271	285070	11.85	1.10
2371	200085	11.87	1.10	2797	239961	11.85	1.10	3299	287714	11.84	1.10
2377	200623	11.86	1.10	2801	240349	11.85	1.10	3301	287860	11.83	1.10
2381	200966	11.85	1.11	2803	240517	11.85	1.10	3307	288526	11.85	1.10
2383	201190	11.86	1.10	2819	242035	11.85	1.10	3313	289069	11.85	1.10
2389	201764	11.87	1.10	2833	243343	11.84	1.10	3319	289688	11.85	1.10
2393	202170	11.88	1.10	2837	243688	11.84	1.10	3323	290048	11.85	1.10
2399	202630	11.85	1.11	2843	244255	11.84	1.10	3329	290606	11.85	1.10
2411	203750	11.85	1.10	2851	245064	11.85	1.10	3331	290799	11.85	1.10
2417	204302	11.85	1.11	2857	245584	11.84	1.10	3343	291930	11.84	1.10
2423	204921	11.87	1.10	2861	245985	11.84	1.10	3347	292328	11.84	1.10
2437	206225	11.86	1.10	2879	247689	11.84	1.10	3359	293499	11.85	1.10
2441	206615	11.87	1.10	2887	248512	11.86	1.10	3361	293661	11.84	1.10
2447	207126	11.86	1.10	2897	249463	11.86	1.10	3371	294685	11.86	1.10
2459	208293	11.87	1.10	2903	249984	11.85	1.10	3373	294881	11.86	1.10
2467	209013	11.86	1.10	2909	250556	11.85	1.10	3389	296390	11.85	1.10
2473	209553	11.86	1.10	2917	251286	11.84	1.10	3391	296568	11.85	1.10
2477	209967	11.87	1.10	2927	252203	11.83	1.10	3407	298091	11.84	1.10
2503	212360	11.86	1.10	2939	253398	11.85	1.10	3413	298744	11.86	1.10
2521	214013	11.85	1.10	2953	254738	11.85	1.10	3433	300642	11.85	1.10
2531	214955	11.85	1.10	2957	255103	11.85	1.10	3449	302186	11.86	1.10
2539	215748	11.86	1.10	2963	255591	11.83	1.10	3457	302973	11.86	1.10
2543	216116	11.86	1.10	2969	256195	11.84	1.10	3461	303384	11.86	1.10
2549	216670	11.86	1.10	2971	256429	11.85	1.10	3463	303522	11.85	1.10
2551	216808	11.84	1.10	2999	259079	11.84	1.10	3467	303930	11.86	1.10
2557	217425	11.86	1.10	3001	259272	11.84	1.10	3469	304058	11.84	1.10
2579	219477	11.86	1.10	3011	260221	11.84	1.10	3491	306176	11.84	1.10
2591	220629	11.86	1.10	3019	260999	11.85	1.10	3499	307016	11.86	1.10
2593	220756	11.85	1.10	3023	261297	11.83	1.10	3511	308190	11.86	1.10
2609	222240	11.84	1.10	3037	262686	11.84	1.10	3517	308731	11.85	1.10
2617	223035	11.86	1.10	3041	263047	11.84	1.10	3527	309695	11.85	1.10
2621	223399	11.85	1.10	3049	263788	11.83	1.10	3529	309878	11.85	1.10
2633	224517	11.85	1.10	3061	264981	11.84	1.10	3533	310275	11.85	1.10
2647	225831	11.85	1.10	3067	265596	11.85	1.10	3539	310814	11.85	1.10
2657	226765	11.85	1.10	3079	266675	11.84	1.10	3541	311053	11.86	1.10
2659	226982	11.86	1.10	3083	267032	11.83	1.10	3547	311652	11.86	1.10
2663	227312	11.84	1.10	3089	267643	11.84	1.10	3557	312570	11.85	1.10
2671	228116	11.86	1.10	3109	269559	11.85	1.10	3559	312794	11.86	1.10
2677	228688	11.86	1.10	3119	270536	11.85	1.10	3571	313944	11.85	1.10
2683	229247	11.86	1.10	3121	270727	11.85	1.10	3581	314954	11.86	1.10
2687	229626	11.86	1.10	3137	272177	11.83	1.10	3583	315081	11.85	1.10
2689	229746	11.84	1.10	3163	274730	11.85	1.10	3593	316089	11.86	1.10
2693	230198	11.86	1.10	3167	275065	11.84	1.10	3607	317380	11.85	1.10

Lemma 14. *For all $x \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n} = \log x+\gamma+\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2x}+\frac{1}{12x^2}\right) \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{|\mu(n)|}{n} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}\log x+\gamma'+\epsilon\left(\frac{3}{25\sqrt{x}}\right),$$

where $\gamma = \lim_{x\to\infty}\left(\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n}-\log x\right) \approx 0.577216$ is Euler's constant, and the constant γ' is defined by $\gamma' = \lim_{x\to\infty}\left(\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{|\mu(n)|}{n}-\frac{6}{\pi^2}\log x\right) \approx 1.043895$.

Proof. Both bounds are verified by machine for $x < 10^5$, so we assume $x \geq 10^5$. The first result is standard, but some care is required to express the bound in terms of x rather than the integer $[x]$. From [12, Eq. 9.89] we have

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{1}{n} \;=\; H_{[x]} \;=\; \log[x]+\gamma+\frac{1}{2[x]}-\frac{1}{12[x]^2}+\frac{1}{120[x]^4}-\frac{\theta}{256[x]^6},$$

where θ is a positive real number less than 1. We wish to bound the quantity

$$\log x+\gamma-H_{[x]} \;=\; \log\left(1+\frac{\{x\}}{[x]}\right)-\frac{1}{2[x]}+\frac{1}{12[x]^2}-\frac{1}{120[x]^4}+\frac{\theta}{256[x]^6}. \tag{24}$$

Expanding $\log\left(1+\frac{\{x\}}{[x]}\right)$, the right-hand side of (24) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\{x\}}{[x]}-\frac{\{x\}^2}{2[x]^2}+\frac{\{x\}^3}{3[x]^3}-\frac{\{x\}^4}{4[x]^4}-\frac{1}{2[x]}+\frac{1}{12[x]^2}-\frac{1}{120[x]^4}+\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{5[x]^5}\right). \tag{25}$$

We now apply $\frac{1}{[x]} = \frac{1}{x}+\frac{\{x\}}{x[x]}$ repeatedly to (25), which eventually yields

$$\begin{aligned}\log x+\gamma-H_{[x]} \;=\; &\frac{2\{x\}-1}{2x} \;+\; \frac{6\{x\}^2-6\{x\}+1}{12x^2} \;+\; \frac{2\{x\}^3-3\{x\}^2+\{x\}}{6x^3}\\ &+\; \frac{30\{x\}^4-60\{x\}^3+30\{x\}^2-1}{120x^4} \;+\; \epsilon\left(\frac{5}{[x]^5}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $x \geq 10^5$, we note that if $\{x\} \leq 0.9$ then the sum of the terms on the RHS is less than $1/(2x)$. When $\{x\} > 0.9$ then the first two terms are both positive, with sum less than $\frac{1}{2x}+\frac{1}{12x^2}$, while the sum of the remaining three terms is negative, with absolute value less than $\frac{1}{2x}+\frac{1}{12x^2}$. This proves the first result.

To prove the second result, we integrate by parts and apply Corollary 13:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{|\mu(n)|}{n} \;&=\; \int_{1^-}^{x}\frac{1}{t}dQ(t) \;=\; \frac{Q(t)}{t}\Big|_{1^-}^{x}+\int_{1^-}^{x}\frac{Q(t)}{t^2}dt\\ &=\; \frac{6}{\pi^2}+\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{25\sqrt{x}}\right)+\int_1^x\frac{6}{\pi^2 t}dt+\int_1^x\frac{R(t)}{t^2}dt\\ &=\; \frac{6}{\pi^2}\log x+\left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}+\int_1^\infty\frac{R(t)}{t^2}dt\right)-\int_x^\infty\frac{R(t)}{t^2}dt+\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{25\sqrt{x}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting $\frac{6}{\pi^2}\log x$ from both sides and taking the limit as $x\to\infty$ yields

$$\left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}+\int_1^\infty\frac{R(t)}{t^2}dt\right) = \lim_{x\to\infty}\left(\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{|\mu(n)|}{n}-\frac{6}{\pi^2}\log x\right) = \gamma'.$$

Corollary 13 implies $\int_x^\infty\frac{R(t)}{t^2}dt = \epsilon\left(\frac{2}{25\sqrt{x}}\right)$, and the total error is $\epsilon\left(\frac{3}{25\sqrt{x}}\right)$. $\square$

Lemma 15. *For all $x \geq 10^5$ we have*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} + \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{300x}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\log n}{n^2} = C + \epsilon\left(\frac{3\log x + 1}{900x}\right),$$

where $C = \sum \frac{\mu(n)\log n}{n^2} \approx -0.346495$.

Proof. For the first bound, we recall that $\sum \mu(n)/n^2 = 1/\zeta(2) = 6/\pi^2$. Therefore

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \sum \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} - \sum_{n>x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} - \sum_{n>x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2}.$$

To bound the tail, we integrate by parts and apply Corollary 13:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n>x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} &= \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} dM(t) = \frac{M(t)}{t^2}\Big|_x^\infty - \int_x^\infty M(t) d\frac{1}{t^2} \\ &= \frac{-M(x)}{x^2} + 2\int_x^\infty \frac{M(t)}{t^3} dt = \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{300x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The second bound is proved similarly. When bounding the tail one uses

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n>x} \frac{\mu(n)\log n}{n^2} &= \frac{-M(x)\log x}{x^2} + \int_x^\infty \frac{M(t)(2\log t - 1)}{t^3} dt \\ &= \epsilon\left(\frac{\log x}{900x}\right) + \epsilon\left(\frac{\log x}{450x} + \frac{1}{900x}\right) = \epsilon\left(\frac{3\log x + 1}{900x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

to complete the proof. ☐

Lemma 16. *For all $x \geq 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^2} = \frac{6\log x}{\pi^2} + \frac{6\gamma}{\pi^2} - C + \epsilon\left(\frac{\log x + 1}{3x}\right),$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.577216$ is Euler's constant and $C = \sum \frac{\mu(n)\log n}{n^2} \approx -0.346495$.

Proof. The lemma is machine verified for $x < 10^5$, so we assume $x \geq 10^5$. We recall that $\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)\frac{n}{d}$, and therefore

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^2} = \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \sum_{d\leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{qd\leq x} \frac{1}{qd} = \sum_{d\leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \sum_{q\leq x/d} \frac{1}{q}.$$

By Lemma 14 we have $\sum_{q\leq x/d} \frac{1}{q} = \log(x/d) + \gamma + \epsilon\left(\frac{d}{2x} + \frac{d^2}{12x^2}\right)$, which yields

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^2} = (\log x + \gamma) \sum_{d\leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} - \sum_{d\leq x} \frac{\mu(d)\log d}{d^2} + \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2x}\right) \sum_{d\leq x} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d} + \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{12x^2}\right) Q(x).$$

Applying Lemma 15 to the first two sums and Lemma 14 to the third sum yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^2} &= \frac{6\log n}{\pi^2} + \frac{6\gamma}{\pi^2} - C \\ &\quad + \epsilon\left(\left(\frac{1}{150} + \frac{3}{\pi^2}\right)\frac{\log x}{x} + \left(\frac{3\gamma+1}{900} + \frac{\gamma'}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\right)\frac{1}{x} + \frac{19}{300x^{3/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $x \geq 10^5$ we can replace the error term by $\epsilon\left(\frac{\log x + 1}{3x}\right)$. ☐

Lemma 17. *For all* $x \geq 3/2$ *we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi(n) \;=\; \frac{3x^2}{\pi^2} \;+\; \epsilon\left(\frac{x \log x}{2}\right).$$

Proof. The lemma is machine verified for $x < 10^5$, so we assume $x \geq 10^5$. As above, we apply $\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}$ to obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi(n) \;=\; \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} \;=\; \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \sum_{qd \leq x} q \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left(\left[\frac{x}{d}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{x}{d}\right] \right). \tag{26}$$

We note that $\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left[\frac{x}{d}\right] = 1$, by [3, Thm. 3.12], and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left[\frac{x}{d}\right]^2 \;&=\; \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left(\frac{x}{d} - \left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\}\right)^2 \\ &=\; \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^2 \;-\; 2 \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\} \left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \;+\; \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\}^2 \\ &=\; x^2 \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \;-\; 2x \sum_{d \leq x} \left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \;+\; \epsilon(x). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

This first sum is addressed by Lemma 15. For the second we use

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \;&=\; \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \;+\; \sum_{d \leq x} \left(\left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \\ &=\; \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \;+\; \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

By [4, Lemma 3.2], the first sum is $\epsilon(1 + \frac{1}{x})$. Applying Lemma 14 we obtain

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \left\{\frac{x}{d}\right\} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \;=\; \epsilon\left(\frac{3 \log x}{\pi^2} + \frac{\gamma' + 1}{2} + \frac{3}{50\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1}{2x} \right).$$

Since $x \geq 5$, the error term is $\epsilon\left(\frac{3 \log x}{\pi^2} + \frac{21}{20}\right)$. Applying this to (27) yields

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \left[\frac{x}{d}\right]^2 \;=\; \frac{6x^2}{\pi^2} \;+\; \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{300}\right) + \epsilon\left(\frac{6x \log x}{\pi^2} + \frac{21x}{10} \right) \;+\; \epsilon(x),$$

and from (26) we then have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \phi(n) \;=\; \frac{3x^2}{\pi^2} \;+\; \epsilon\left(\frac{3x \log x}{\pi^2} + \frac{931x}{600} + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Since $x \geq 3000$, we may replace the error term with $\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} x \log x\right)$. □

Corollary 18. *Let* $K(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \phi(n)$. *For all* $x \geq 1$ *we have the bounds*

$$K(C_1 x) \geq \tfrac{1}{4} K(x) - 2, \quad K(C_2 x) \geq \tfrac{1}{2} K(x) - 2, \quad K(C_3 x) \geq \tfrac{3}{4} K(x) - 2,$$

where $C_1 = 0.539$, $C_2 = 0.742$, *and* $C_3 = 0.917$.

Proof. We verify by machine for $x < 300$ and apply Lemma 17 for $x \geq 300$. □

Lemma 19. *Let* $P(X) = \sum c_k X^k$ *be a monic polynomial in* $\mathbf{C}[X]$ *of degree* n *whose roots* ω_j *satisfy* $|\omega_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\omega_n| > 1$. *Let* $m = |\omega_n|$ *and* $M = \prod |\omega_j|$. *Then*

$$\log |c_k| \ \leq \ \log M + \left(\frac{\log m + 1}{m}\right) n.$$

Proof. Let $M_k = \prod_{j \leq k} |\omega_j|$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. If S is any k-subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ then we have $\prod_{j \in S} |\omega_j| \leq M_k$. Therefore

$$|c_k| \leq \binom{n}{k} M_{n-k}. \tag{28}$$

It suffices to bound the right-hand side of (28), and we need only consider $k \leq n/2$. Now suppose $k \geq (n+1)/(m+1)$, with $1 \leq k \leq n/2$. We then have

$$\binom{n}{k} \Big/ \binom{n}{k-1} = \frac{n-k+1}{k} \leq m,$$

which implies $\binom{n}{k} M_{n-k} \leq \binom{n}{k-1} M_{n-k+1}$. Thus we may assume $k < (n+1)/(m+1)$. The lemma clearly holds for $k = 0$, so we assume $m < n$ and set $r = [(n+1)/(m+1)]$. We then have

$$|c_k| \leq \binom{n}{r} M,$$

and it remains only to show that $\log \binom{n}{r} \leq \frac{n}{m}(\log m + 1)$. Using the explicit bounds

$$\sqrt{2\pi} n^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n+\frac{1}{12n+1}} \ < \ n! \ < \ \sqrt{2\pi} n^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n+\frac{1}{12n}}$$

for Stirling's formula [16], one obtains the inequality

$$\binom{n}{k} \ < \ \frac{n^n}{k^k (n-k)^{n-k}}, \tag{29}$$

valid for $0 < k < n$. For fixed n and $k \leq n/2$ the right-hand side of (29) is an increasing function of k. We thus obtain

$$\binom{n}{r} \ < \ \frac{n^n}{(n/m)^{n/m} (n - n/m)^{n-n/m}} \ = \ \frac{m^n}{(m-1)^{n-n/m}},$$

using $r \leq (n+1)/(m+1) < n/m$ (since $m < n$). Taking logarithms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \binom{n}{r} \ &< \ n \log m - (n - n/m) \log(m-1) \\
&= \ n\big(\log m - (1 - 1/m)(\log m + \log(1 - 1/m))\big) \\
&= \ n\big((1/m) \log m - (1 - 1/m) \log(1 - 1/m)\big) \\
&= \ \frac{n}{m} \left(\log m + (m-1) \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{m-1}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \ \frac{n}{m} \big(\log m + 1\big),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 20. *Let* $P \in \mathbf{C}[X, Y]$ *be a nonzero polynomial of degree at most* n *in each variable. Suppose* $h(P(X, y)) \leq B$ *for all real* y *in the interval* $[L, 2L]$, *for some real* $B > 0$ *and* $L > 1$. *Then we have*

$$h(P) \ \leq \ B + \left(\frac{\log L + 1}{L} + 3 \log 2\right) n.$$

Proof. Let $P(X,Y)=\sum Q_m(Y)X^m$. It suffices to show $h(Q_m)$ satisfies the bound in the lemma for each nonzero Q_m. For any $y\in\mathbf{C}$, the coefficient of X^m in $P(X,y)$ is $Q_m(y)$. As in [9, Lemma 9], let us pick $n+1$ interpolation points $y_k\in[L,2L]$:

$$y_k = L\left(1+\frac{k}{n}\right) \qquad (0\le k\le n).$$

We may interpolate $Q_m(Y)$ from the pairs $(y_k, c_{k,m})$, where $c_{k,m}$ is the coefficient of X^m in $P(X,y_k)$. Applying the Lagrange interpolation formula yields

$$Q_m(Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k,m}\prod_{j\ne k}\frac{Y-y_j}{y_k-y_j}.$$

By Lemma 19, the coefficients of $\prod_{j\ne k}(Y-y_j)$ have absolute values bounded by δM, where $\delta=\exp\bigl((n/L)(\log L+1)\bigr)$ and

$$M=\prod_{j\ne k} y_j \le \frac{L^n(2n)!}{n^n n!}.$$

We also have

$$\prod_{j\ne k}|y_k-y_j| \;=\; L^n\frac{k}{n}\cdot\frac{k-1}{n}\cdots\frac{1}{n}\cdot\frac{1}{n}\cdot\frac{2}{n}\cdots\frac{n-k}{n} \;=\; \frac{L^n k!(n-k)!}{n^n},$$

and by the hypothesis of the lemma, $|c_{k,m}|<C$, where $C=e^B$. Thus the absolute values of the coefficients of $Q_m(Y)$ are bounded by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} C\frac{\delta(2n)!}{n!k!(n-k)!} \;=\; \delta C\binom{2n}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \;\le\; 2^{3n}\delta C.$$

Assuming $Q_m(Y)$ is nonzero, we take logarithms and obtain

$$h(Q_m) \;\le\; B+\left(\frac{\log L+1}{L}+3\log 2\right)n.$$

as desired. □

References

1. Douglas R. Anderson and Tom M. Apostol, *The evaluation of Ramanujan's sum and generalizations*, Duke Mathematics Journal **11** (1953), 211–216.
2. Tom M. Apostol, *Mathematical analysis*, second ed., Addison-Wesley, 1974.
3. ———, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, 1976.
4. Paul T. Bateman and Harold G. Diamond, *Analytic number theory*, World Scientific, 2004.
5. Nicolas Brisebarre and Georges Philibert, *Effective lower and upper bounds for the Fourier coefficients of powers of the modular invariant j*, The Ramanujan mathematical society **20** (2005), no. 4, 255–282.
6. Reinier Bröker, Kristin Lauter, and Andrew V. Sutherland, *Modular polynomials via isogeny volcanoes*, 2009, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1001.0402`.
7. Denis Charles and Kristin Lauter, *Computing modular polynomials*, LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics **8** (2005), 195–204.
8. Henri Cohen, Francois Dress, and Mohamed El Marraki, *Explicit estimates for summatory functions linked to the Möbius μ-function.*, Functiones et Approximatio **37** (2007), 51–63.
9. Paula Cohen, *On the coefficients of the transformation polynomials for the elliptic modular function*, Math. Proc. of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **95** (1984), 389–402.
10. Noam D. Elkies, *Elliptic and modular curves over finite fields and related computational issues*, Computational Perspectives on Number Theory (D. A. Buell and J. T. Teitelbaum, eds.), Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 7, AMS, 1998, pp. 21–76.

11. Andreas Enge, *Computing modular polynomials in quasi-linear time*, Mathematics of Computation **78** (2009), 1809–1824.
12. Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics: A foundation for computer science*, second ed., Addison-Wesley, 1994.
13. Godfrey H. Hardy and Edward M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, fifth ed., Oxford Science Publications, 1979.
14. Otto Hölder, *Zur Theorie der Kreisteilungsgleichung* $k_m(x) = 0$, Prace Matematyczno Fizyczne **43** (1936), 13–23.
15. Serge Lang, *Elliptic functions*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, 1987.
16. Herbert Robbins, *A remark on Stirling's formula*, American Mathematical Monthly **62** (1955), 26–29.
17. Arnold Walfisz, *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neueren Zahlentheorie*, Mathematische Forschungsberichte, XV, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1963.

Brown University, Box 1917, 151 Thayer Street, Providence, RI
E-mail address: `reinier@math.brown.edu`

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA
E-mail address: `drew@math.mit.edu`